TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

January 24, 2002 LB 82

handled for any other member who asked that their bill be passed over and then we would take it back up when they were present. So, while it says special order, I think we are in the flow of bills in the sequence and approximate order that we have been since we began this session, and it's here for the same reason that the others were here, and I'll leave the explanation at that. I urge the defeat of the Bruning amendment and the advancement of the first division of the bill, and I'd like to yield the remainder of my time to Senator Wickersham. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Wickersham, about two minutes.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Thank you, Mr. President. I'm going to comment briefly on the process issue that Senator Beutler raised. I...and, Senator Beutler, you may recall that I've been on both sides of that process issue. When I was a very junior member of the Legislature, I used to become aggravaced at Senator Wesely would bring to this body a Senator Wesely. health bill that contained a whole new volume in the statute books, and one year I stood up and said I don't know what's in there, and divided it up into about thirteen different parts. Then I aggravated Senator Wesely. And we adopted all thirteen parts after we talked about them, and after that I wasn't as aggravated, Senator Wesely wasn't as aggravated, and we went on our way. When I became Chair of Revenue Committee, in fact two years ago, we created such a large bill that at one point I had to suggest to Senator Thompson that she should not propose one more amendment, which was one more bill. Sorry, Senator Thompson, to remind you of that unpleasant experience, but we had too many bills in what was a very large committee bill at that point. But we talked about them. We knew what we were doing and off they went. Now, that's the part of the process that I think is most important, not how we do it. really care much about how we do it. I do care that we know what we're doing and that we have adequate time to know what's in a particular amendment, and it seems to me that we're getting there with the proposal that we have from Judiciary. So I'm not going to be overly disturbed by the fact that there are a number of bills in the...in the amendment that's being proposed by the Judiciary Committee. I would be disturbed if I didn't think we